

1
2 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
3 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
4 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

5 **In re:**

6 **PG&E CORPORATION**

7 **- and -**

8 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC**
9 **COMPANY,**

10 **Debtors.**

- 11 Affects PG&E Corporation
12 Affects Pacific Gas and Electric
13 Affects both Debtors

14 ** All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case,
15 No. 19-30088 (DM).*

16 Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

17 Chapter 11

18 (Lead Case)

19 (Jointly Administered)

20 **FINAL FEE APPLICATION OF MILBANK**
21 **LLP FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT**
22 **OF COMPENSATION AND**
23 **REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES**
24 **INCURRED AS COUNSEL TO THE**
25 **OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED**
26 **CREDITORS**

27 Date: TBD

28 Time: TBD

29 Place: United States Bankruptcy Court
30 Courtroom 17,
31 16th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102

32 Judge: Hon. Dennis Montali

33 Name of Applicant:

34 Milbank LLP

35 Authorized to Provide Professional Services to:

36 Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

37 Date of Retention:

38 April 29, 2019 nunc pro tunc to February 12,
39 2019

40 Time Period for which compensation
41 and reimbursement are sought:

42 February 12, 2019 through July 31, 2020

43 Amount of Compensation sought as actual,
44 reasonable, and necessary:

45 \$28,307,647.25

46 Amount of Expense Reimbursement sought
47 as actual, reasonable, and necessary:

48 \$922,318.05

49 Total Compensation and Expenses
50 Requested for Compensation Period:

51 \$29,229,965.30

52 This is a(n): monthly interim X final application

53 This is the final fee application filed by Milbank LLP in these cases.

Summary of Interim Fee Applications for Compensation Period:

Filing	Period Covered	Fees	Expenses
<i>Corrected First Interim Fee Statement</i> Docket No. 3117 Date Filed: 7/22/19	2/12/19 – 5/31/19	\$7,284,101.25	\$225,980.03
<i>Second Interim Fee Statement</i> Docket No. 4723 Date Filed: 11/14/19	6/1/19 – 9/30/19	\$7,965,307.50	\$274,206.05
<i>Third Interim Fee Statement</i> Docket No. 6278 Date Filed: 3/13/20	10/1/19 – 1/31/20	\$7,182,215.25	\$252,836.83
<i>Fourth Interim Fee Statement</i> Docket No. 8411 Date Filed: 6/29/20	2/1/20 – 5/31/20	\$4,942,522.75	\$146,824.85
<i>Monthly Fee Statement for June/July 2020</i> Docket No. 8773 Date Filed: 8/14/20	6/1/20 – 7/31/20	\$933,500.50	\$22,470.29
TOTALS:¹		\$28,307,647.25	\$922,318.05

¹ Pursuant to section 12.1 of the Plan, the Committee dissolved upon the Effective Date (July 1, 2020). The Plan does, however, provide for the payment of certain fees and expenses following the Effective Date. As such, Milbank has filed one monthly fee statement (which covered both June and July 2020). In addition, following the filing of this Application, Milbank expects to file additional monthly fee statements covering the time spent, among other things, preparing this Application.

Summary of Monthly Fee Statements for Compensation Period:

Application	Date Filed	Period Covered	Requested Fees / Expenses	Authorized Fees (80%) / Expenses (100%)
First Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 2219]	5/24/19	2/12/19 – 2/28/19	\$1,579,807.00/ \$17,428.27	\$1,263,845.60/ \$17,428.27
Second Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 2707]	6/21/19	3/1/19 – 3/31/19	\$2,154,205.25/ \$88,823.53	\$1,723,364.20/ \$88,823.53
Third Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 2950]	7/12/19	4/1/19 – 4/30/19	\$1,893,348.75/ \$65,490.56	\$1,514,679.00/ \$65,490.56
Fourth Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 3071]	7/18/19	5/1/19 – 5/31/19	\$1,656,740.25/ \$54,237.67	\$1,325,392.20/ \$54,237.67
Fifth Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 3659]	8/22/19	6/1/19 – 6/30/19	\$2,068,600.25/ \$61,397.77	\$1,654,880.20/ \$61,397.77
Sixth Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 3959]	9/20/19	7/1/19 – 7/31/19	\$2,393,383.25/ \$79,173.27	\$1,914,706.60/ \$79,173.27
Seventh Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 4291]	10/18/19	8/1/19 – 8/31/19	\$1,987,907.75/ \$56,717.98	\$1,590,329.20/ \$56,717.98
Eighth Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 4620]	11/8/19	9/1/19 – 9/30/19	\$1,515,416.25/ \$76,917.03	\$1,212,333.00/ \$76,917.03
Ninth Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 4884]	11/27/19	10/1/19 – 10/31/19	\$2,139,056.00/ \$50,825.55	\$1,711,244.80/ \$50,825.55
Tenth Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 5048]	1/17/20	11/1/19 – 11/30/19	\$2,004,837.50/ \$87,672.04	\$1,603,870.00/ \$87,672.04
Eleventh Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 5817]	2/18/20	12/1/19 – 12/31/19	\$1,441,306.50/ \$70,574.91	\$1,153,045.20/ \$70,574.91

1	Twelfth Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 6207]	3/9/20	1/1/20 – 1/31/20	\$1,597,015.25/ \$43,764.33	\$1,277,612.20/ \$43,764.33
2	Thirteenth Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 6797]	4/20/20	2/1/20 – 2/29/20	\$1,296,101.25/ \$80,249.66	\$1,036,881.00/ \$80,249.66
3	Fourteenth Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 7373]	5/18/20	3/1/20 – 3/31/20	\$1,582,052.00/ \$38,785.73	\$1,265,641.60/ \$38,785.73
4	Fifteenth Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 7892]	6/11/20	4/1/20 – 4/30/20	\$1,137,697.00/ \$13,174.38	\$910,157.60/ \$13,174.38
5	Sixteenth Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 6129]	6/29/20	5/1/20 – 5/31/20	\$926,672.50/ \$14,615.08	\$741,338.00/ \$14,615.08
6	Seventeenth Monthly Fee Statement [Docket No. 8773]	8/14/20	6/1/20 – 7/31/20	\$933,500.50/\$22,470.29	\$746,800.40/ \$22,470.29
7	TOTALS:			\$28,307,647.25/ \$922,318.05	\$22,646,117.80/ \$922,318.05

Summary of Any Objections to Monthly Fee Applications: No objections were filed with respect to the First through Sixteenth Monthly Fee Statements. See Certificates of No Objection [Docket Nos. 2565, 2976, 3570, 3490, 3891, 4249, 4681, 4950, 5170, 5816, 6252, 6576, 7157, 7845, 8271 and 8471]. The objection deadline with respect to the Seventeenth Monthly Fee Statement is September 4, 2020.

FINAL COMPENSATION BY PROJECT CATEGORY

Project Category	Total Hours	Total Fees
00002 Asset Sales	20.10	\$19,569.00
00003 Automatic Stay	451.60	\$447,181.00
00004 Bankruptcy Litigation	2,612.40	\$2,392,870.50
00005 Bar Date	238.50	\$270,698.50
00006 Claims Reconciliation/Objections	246.00	\$253,486.00
00007 Case Administration (Dockets updates, WIP and calendar)	897.50	\$701,871.50
00008 CCA and Aggregator Issues	.40	\$368.00
00009 Plan of Reorganization	4,786.00	\$5,492,233.50
00010 Communications with Client	1,254.80	\$1,363,591.00
00011 Communications with Unsecured Creditors	140.90	\$179,118.00
00012 Committee Meetings	1,649.70	\$2,010,772.00
00013 Committee Governance	80.90	\$98,239.50
00014 Corporate Governance and Board Issues	269.30	\$283,727.00
00015 Customer, Supplier and Vendor Issues	29.80	\$30,080.00
00016 DIP Financing/Cash Management/Exit Financing	1,103.60	\$1,064,073.00
00017 Executory Contracts/Lease Issues	173.20	\$180,515.50
00018 General Case Strategy	2,452.80	\$2,830,567.50
00020 Court Hearings	2,203.50	\$2,461,229.50
00022 Non-Bankruptcy Litigation	121.60	\$108,821.00
00023 Non-Working Travel	783.10	\$487,352.25
00024 Power Purchase Agreements	18.60	\$17,442.00

00025 Reclamation/503(b)(9) Claims	.60	\$801.00
00026 Regulatory, Political and Legislative	307.70	\$365,387.00
00027 CPUC	783.90	\$812,551.50
00028 FERC	100.30	\$102,155.00
00029 Retention/Fee Applications	1,624.30	\$1,561,026.00
00030 Retention/Fee applications Ordinary Course	6.20	\$5,201.50
00032 Subrogation Issues	284.50	\$312,264.50
00033 Tort Committee	72.20	\$71,424.00
00034 Tax Issues	91.50	\$100,415.00
00036 U.S. Trustee	19.80	\$20,523.00
00038 Wildfire Claims and Treatment	3,749.10	\$3,612,634.00
00039 Employee Benefits/Severance Issues	629.70	\$649,458.50
Total	27,204.10	\$28,307,647.25

FINAL COMPENSATION BY PROFESSIONAL

The attorneys who rendered professional services in these Chapter 11 Cases from February 12, 2019 through July 31, 2020 (the “Compensation Period”) are:

NAME OF PROFESSIONAL PARTNERS, COUNSEL & SENIOR ATTORNEYS:	DEPARTMENT	YEAR ADMITTED	HOURLY RATE	TOTAL HOURS BILLED	TOTAL COMPENSATION
William Bice	Global Project, Energy and Infrastructure Finance	1996	\$1,615 \$1,540 \$770*	156.50 321.80 20.00	\$252,747.50 \$495,572.00 \$15,400.00
Gregory Bray	Financial Restructuring	1984	\$1,615 \$1,540 \$807.5* \$770*	608.50 1,479.40 6.30 39.70	\$982,727.50 \$2,278,276.00 \$5,087.25 \$30,569.00
Dennis Dunne	Financial Restructuring	1991	\$1,615 \$1,540	189.20 595.40	\$305,558.00 \$916,916.00
Russell Kestenbaum	Tax	1999	\$1,615 \$1,540	16.90 63.00	\$27,293.50 \$97,020.00
Thomas Kreller	Financial Restructuring	1992	\$1,615 \$1,540 \$807.5* \$770*	305.70 680.60 4.00 56.20	\$493,705.50 \$1,048,124.00 \$3,230.00 43,274.00
Andrew Leblanc	Litigation	2000	\$1,615 \$1,540 \$807.5* \$770	50.40 612.60 4.00 204.80	\$81,396.00 \$943,404.00 \$3,230.00 \$157,696.00
Allan Marks	Global Project, Energy and Infrastructure Finance	1990	\$1,540	67.80	\$104,412.00
Eric Moser	Alternative Investments	1991	\$1,540	1.40	\$2,156.00
Adam Moses	Global Corporate	2002	\$1,540	9.80	\$15,092.00
Michael Nolan	Litigation	1992	\$1,540	33.30	\$51,282.00
Albert Pisa	Alternative Investments	1997	\$1,540	6.50	\$10,010.00

Alan Stone	Litigation	1988	\$1,615 \$1,540 \$807.5*	194.40 367.60 21.70 60.00	\$313,956.00 \$566,104.00 \$17,522.75 \$46,200.00
Karen Wong	Global Project, Energy and Infrastructure Finance	1986	\$1,540	3.80	\$5,852.00
Paul Aronzon	Financial Restructuring	1979	\$1,540	254.90	\$392,546.00
Nicholas Smith	Global Corporate	2003	\$1,465	3.00	\$4,395.00
Samuel Khalil	Financial Restructuring	2004	\$1,425 \$712.5*	162.60 7.60	\$231,705.00 \$5,415.00
Manan Shah	Tax	2002	\$1,540 \$1,425	5.40 83.00	\$8,316.00 \$118,275.00
Aaron Renenger	Litigation	2002	\$1,335	0.60	\$801.00
Michael Price	Financial Restructuring	2011	\$1,155	10.40	\$12,012.00
Jason Anderson	Global Corporate	2009	\$995	9.30	\$9,253.50
Dennis O'Donnell	Financial Restructuring	1992	\$1,250	28.40	\$35,500.00
Jennifer Harris	Alternative Investments	2000	\$1,120	12.80	\$14,336.00
Brian Kinney	Financial Restructuring	2004	\$1,120	6.30	\$7,056.00
Craig Price	Financial Restructuring	2000	\$1,175 \$1,120	1,146.10 1,934.40	\$1,346,667.50 \$2,166,528.00
Samir Vora	Litigation	2007	\$1,175 \$1,120 \$995 \$587.5* \$560* \$497.5*	877.00 1,021.30 326.30 14.00 61.70 5.50	\$1,030,475.00 \$1,143,856.00 \$324,668.50 \$8,225.00 \$34,552.00 \$2,736.25
Lena Mandel	Financial Restructuring	1991	\$1,175 \$1,080	261.30 482.30	\$307,027.50 \$520,884.00
Total Partners, Counsel & Senior Attorneys:			\$1,321.32	12,895.50	\$17,039,043.25

NAME OF PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATES:	DEPARTMENT	YEAR ADMITTED*	HOURLY RATE	TOTAL HOURS BILLED	TOTAL COMPENSATION
Kamel Aitelaj	Litigation	2008	\$1,045 \$995	25.60 39.30	\$26,752.00 \$39,103.50
James Beebe	Tax	2011	\$1,045 \$995	8.00 56.60	\$8,360.00 \$56,317.00
Nicholas Deluca	Tax	2009	\$995	1.00	\$995.00
Daniel Denny	Financial Restructuring	2005	\$995 \$497.5*	567.50 20.10	\$564,662.50 \$9,999.75
Yigal Gross	Alternative Investments	2015	\$995	10.40	\$10,348.00
James Behrens	Financial Restructuring	2015	\$955	15.60	\$14,898.00
Erin Dexter	Litigation	2014	\$995	439.50	\$437,302.50
			\$920	878.70	\$808,404.00
Rachael Franzoia	Financial Restructuring	2013	\$460	2.80	\$1,288.00
Ryan Hart	Global Project, Energy and Infrastructure Finance	2014	\$995	40.90	\$40,695.50
			\$920	563.20	\$518,144.00
Matthew Koch	Financial Restructuring	2014	\$460	7.50	\$3,450.00
Parker Milender	Financial Restructuring	2014	\$920	19.10	\$17,572.00
Katherine Pierucci	Litigation	2014	\$920	115.60	\$115,022.00
Jordan Weber	Financial Restructuring	2015	\$920	1,785.30	\$1,642,476.00
			\$460*	91.00	\$83,720.00
Kathryn Kincade	Global Project, Energy and Infrastructure Finance	2015	\$920	65.60	\$60,352.00
			\$497.5*	25.90	\$255,914.00
Chad Richards	Global Project, Energy and Infrastructure Finance	2015	\$460*	257.20	\$1,030,860.00
			\$920	41.10	\$12,885.25
Christina Skaliks	Tax	2015	\$497.5*	1,120.50	\$18,906.00
			\$875	25.90	\$8,662.50
Julie Wolf	Litigation	2016	\$875	9.90	\$90,517.00
			\$965	4.00	\$128,450.00
Christina Skaliks	Tax	2015	\$965	146.80	\$6,176.00
			\$875	58.50	\$1,750.00
Julie Wolf	Litigation	2016	\$965	6.40	\$51,187.50
			\$965	77.10	\$74,401.50

			\$875 \$437.5*	978.50 94.50	\$856,187.50 \$41,343.75
Emile Ayoub	Litigation	2017	\$830	77.80	\$64,574.00
James Donahue	Global Project, Energy and Infrastructure Finance	2016	\$830	9.30	\$7,719.00
Archana Hazra	Tax	2017	\$830	29.50	\$24,485.00
Kavon Khani	Litigation	2017	\$920 \$830	637.40 1,070.10	\$586,408.00 \$888,183.00
Megan McGuigan	Litigation	2018	\$870	1.60	\$1,392.00
Jesse Yoder	Financial Restructuring	2017	\$830	11.70	\$9,711.00
Adeola Adeyosoye	Financial Restructuring	2018	\$735	129.00	\$94,815.00
Julia Duke	Litigation	2018	\$735	368.60	\$270,921.00
Will Clark Farmer	Litigation	2017	\$735	4.30	\$3,160.50
Natalie Karl	Global Project, Energy and Infrastructure Finance	2017	\$735	5.30	\$3,895.50
Melanie Miller	Financial Restructuring	2018	\$735	47.80	\$35,133.00
Ben Nicholson	Litigation	2017	\$735	67.40	\$49,539.00
Luis Orengo	Litigation	2018	\$735	341.20	\$250,782.00
Henry Seeley	Global Project, Energy and Infrastructure Finance	2018	\$735	77.90	\$57,256.50
Yuliya Zahoroda	Financial Restructuring	2018	\$735	15.30	\$11,245.50
Stephen Benz	Litigation	2019	\$595	40.90	\$24,335.50
Margherita Capolino	Litigation	2019	\$770 \$595	68.40 270.50	\$52,668.00 \$160,947.50
Rachael Connolly	Global Corporate	2018	\$595	19.10	\$11,364.50
Colette Gulick	Global Project, Energy and Infrastructure Finance	2018	\$595	7.00	\$4,165.00
Katherine Gutierrez	Financial Restructuring	Not Yet Admitted	\$595	13.20	\$7,854.00
Michael Harman	Global Project, Energy and Infrastructure Finance	2019	\$595	14.70	\$8,746.50
Benjamin Heller	Tax	2016	\$770 \$595	3.30 19.00	\$2,541.00 \$11,305.00
Erin Hood	Alternative Investments	2019	\$595	4.50	\$2,677.50
Christopher Kim	Litigation	2019	\$595	16.10	\$9,579.50
Danielle Lee	Litigation	2019	\$595	114.10	\$67,889.50

Aaron Metviner	Financial Restructuring	2018	\$595	207.50	\$123,462.50
Alice O'Brien	Litigation	2019	\$595	85.90	\$51,110.50
Jonathan Sorger	Tax	2019	\$595	16.20	\$9,639.00
Ariella Stepanian	Transportation & Space	2019	\$595	7.00	\$4,165.00
Julia Wu	Litigation	2018	\$595	446.00	\$265,370.00
Joshua Zimberg	Litigation	2019	\$595	66.40	\$39,508.00
Andrew Abell	Financial Restructuring	2020	\$450	232.60	\$145,375.00
Nicholas Prey	Financial Restructuring	Not Yet Admitted	\$625	1.10	\$687.50
Jamie Santos Chavez	Financial Restructuring	2020	\$625	12.50	\$7,812.50
Anna Bergstrom	Litigation	2020	\$312.5*	625 \$450 \$312.5*	336.10 106.20 4.00 \$210,062.50 47,790.00 \$1,250.00
Tyler Krenek	Transportation & Space	Not Yet Admitted	\$450	5.40	\$2,430.00
Jeff Snyder	Financial Restructuring	2019	\$312.5*	\$625 \$450 \$312.5*	119.40 26.20 15.10 \$74,625.00 \$11,790.00 \$4,718.75
Total Associates:			\$829.72	13,095.50	\$10,865,576.00

NAME OF PARAPROFESSIONALS:	DEPARTMENT	HOURLY RATE	TOTAL HOURS BILLED	TOTAL COMPENSATION
Angel Anderson	Litigation	\$365 \$350	5.50 24.50	\$2,007.50 \$8,575.00
Abayomi Ayandipo	Litigation	\$365 \$350	35.90 101.50	\$13,103.50 \$35,525.00
Jenifer Gibbs	Litigation	\$365 \$350	12.30 95.50	\$4,489.50 \$33,425.00
David McCracken	Litigation	\$365 \$350	61.50 70.10	\$22,447.50 \$24,535.00
Paul Butters	Litigation	\$325	7.90	\$2,567.50
Ishmael Taylor-Kamara	Financial	\$320	9.60	\$3,072.00

NAME OF PARAPROFESSIONALS:	DEPARTMENT	HOURLY RATE	TOTAL HOURS BILLED	TOTAL COMPENSATION
	Restructuring	\$300	9.50	\$2,850.00
Charmaine Thomas	Financial Restructuring	\$365 \$320 \$300	2.20 188.40 229.30	\$803.00 \$60,288.00 \$68,790.00
Ricky Windom	Litigation	\$340 \$300	8.80 62.10	\$2,992.00 \$18,630.00
Jacqueline Brewster	Financial Restructuring	365 \$300 \$290	3.80 41.10 57.70	\$1,387.00 \$12,330.00 \$16,733.00
Doug Shannon	Litigation	\$360	2.50	\$900.00
Brandon Fiscina	Litigation	\$250	31.90	\$7,975.00
Jae Yeon Cecilia Kim	Financial Restructuring	\$270 \$235	10.00 15.90	\$2,700.00 \$3,736.50
Alejandro Mendoza	Litigation	\$235	5.00	\$1,175.00
Marcin Grabysz	Litigation	\$360	22.20	\$7,992.00
James Mcguire	Practice Support	\$375 \$360	12.50 55.40	\$4,687.50 \$19,944.00
James Liles	Practice Support	\$635	30.50	\$19,367.50
Total Paraprofessionals and other non-legal staff:		\$332.23	1,213.10	\$403,028.00

PROFESSIONALS	BLENDED RATE	TOTAL HOURS BILLED	TOTAL COMPENSATION
TOTALS:			
Partners and Counsel	\$1,321.32	12,895.50	\$17,039,043.25
Associates	\$829.72	13,095.50	\$10,865,576.00
Paraprofessionals and other non-legal staff	\$332.23	1,213.10	\$403,028.00
Blended Attorney Rate	\$1,073.63	25,991.00	\$27,904,619.25
Total Fees Incurred	\$1,040.57	27,204.10	\$28,307,647.25

FINAL EXPENSE SUMMARY

Expense Category	Total Expenses
Airfreight/Messenger	\$7,979.51
Cab Fares/Local Transportation	\$41,526.15
Computerized Database Research	\$483,270.73
Filing Fees	\$5,277.56
Lodging	\$138,976.85
Meals	\$25,314.29
Outside Word Processing	\$1,306.39
Photocopies/Printing	\$30,343.84
Telephone	\$19,024.78
Transcript Expenses	\$59,648.22
Travel	\$109,649.73
TOTAL	\$922,318.05

CUSTOMARY AND COMPARABLE COMPENSATION DISCLOSURES

Category of Timekeeper	Blended Hourly Rate	
	Billed Firm-wide for preceding Fiscal year (FY2019)¹	Billed February 12, 2019 through July 31, 2020
Partner	\$1,221.77	\$1,502.88
Counsel	\$911.75	\$1,125.29
Associate	\$668.38	\$844.96
Paralegal	\$261.99	\$332.23
Aggregated	\$755.31	\$1,040.57

¹ As requested in the U.S. Trustee Guidelines, the calculations in this column exclude members of Milbank's Financial Restructuring Group.

1
2 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
3 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
4 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

5 **In re:**

6 **PG&E CORPORATION**

7 **- and -**

8 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
9 COMPANY,**

10 **Debtors.**

11 Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

12 Chapter 11

13 (Lead Case)

14 (Jointly Administered)

15 **FINAL FEE APPLICATION OF MILBANK LLP
16 FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF COMPENSATION AND
17 REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED AS COUNSEL TO
18 THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS FOR THE PERIOD
19 FROM FEBRUARY 12, 2019 THROUGH JULY 31, 2020**

20 TO THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI
21 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

22 Milbank LLP (“Milbank”), counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the
23 “Committee”) of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (collectively, the
24 “Debtors”) in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (these “Chapter 11 Cases”), hereby submits
25 its final fee application (this “Application”), seeking entry of an order pursuant to: (i) sections 330
26 and 331 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101–1532 (as amended, the
27 “Bankruptcy Code”); (ii) Rule 2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (as amended,
28 the “Bankruptcy Rules”); (iii) the *Order Establishing Procedures for Interim Compensation and
Reimbursement of Expenses for Professionals*, dated February 27, 2019 [Docket No. 701] (the
29 “Interim Compensation Order”); (iv) the *Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 1103(a) and Fed.
R. Bankr. P. 2014 and 2016 Authorizing the Retention and Employment of Milbank LLP as
Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors Effective as of February 12, 2019*
30 [Docket No. 1766] (the “Retention Order”); (v) the United States Trustee’s *Guidelines for
31 Employment of Counsel in Bankruptcy Cases*; and (vi) the *Order Approving the
32 Compensation of Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors*, dated July 31, 2020
33 [Docket No. 1766] (the “Compensation Order”).

Reviewing Applications for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses by Attorneys in Larger Chapter 11 Cases, effective November 1, 2013 (the “U.S. Trustee Guidelines”); (vi) the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the Northern District of California (the “Local Rules”); (vii) the *Guidelines for Compensation and Expense Reimbursement of Professionals and Trustees for the Northern District of California*, dated February 19, 2014 (the “Local Guidelines” and together with the U.S. Trustee Guidelines, the “Fee Guidelines”); and (viii) the *Fee Examiner Protocol for Chapter 11 Cases of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company*, filed on October 24, 2019 [Docket No. 4473-1] (the “Fee Examiner Protocol”).

By this Application, Milbank seeks the allowance, on a final basis, and payment (to the extent not previously paid) of: (i) compensation for professional services rendered during the period from February 12, 2019 through and including July 31, 2020 (the “Compensation Period”) in the aggregate amount of \$28,307,647.25, including the twenty (20%) of fees, totaling \$5,474,829.35, held back pursuant to the Interim Compensation Order (the “Holdback”); and (ii) reimbursement of actual and necessary expenses incurred by Milbank in connection with such services during the Compensation Period in the amount of \$922,318.05. In support of this Application, Milbank respectfully states as follows:

BACKGROUND

1. Bankruptcy Filing. On January 29, 2019 (the “Petition Date”), each of the Debtors filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in this Court. The Debtors continue to manage and operate their businesses and properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in these cases. On February 1, 2019 the Court entered an order consolidating these cases for procedural purposes only [Docket No. 207].

2. Creditors' Committee. On February 12, 2019, the United States Trustee for the Northern District of California (the "U.S. Trustee") appointed the Committee [Docket No. 409].

1 The Committee consisted of the following nine members: (i) BOKF, N.A., as indenture trustee
2 under unsecured bond indentures; (ii) The Davey Tree Expert Company, Davey Tree Surgery
3 Company, and DRG, Inc.; (iii) Deutsche Bank National Trust Company and Deutsche Bank Trust
4 Company Americas, as indenture trustee; (iv) G4S Secure Solutions (USA) Inc. and G4S Secure
5 Integration LLC; (v) International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 1245; (vi) Mizuho
6 Bank, Ltd.; (vii) NextEra Energy, Inc.; (viii) Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation; and
7 (ix) Roebbelin Contracting, Inc.

8

9 3. Jurisdiction. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
10 §§ 157 and 1334. Venue of the Chapter 11 Cases is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and
11 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). The statutory predicates for
12 the relief sought herein are sections 330 and 331 of the Bankruptcy Code.

13

14 4. Authorization for Milbank's Retention. On April 3, 2019, the Committee filed its
15 *Application to Employ Milbank LLP as Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors,*
16 *Effective as February 12, 2019* [Docket No. 1208] (the “Retention Application”). In connection
17 with the Retention Application, Milbank filed the *Declaration of Thomas R. Kreller in Support of*
18 *Application to Employ Milbank LLP as Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors,*
19 *Effective as of February 12, 2019* (the “Kreller Declaration”) [Docket No. 1210]. On April 29,
20 2019, the Court entered an order (the “Retention Order”) [Docket No. 1766] authorizing Milbank’s
21 retention as counsel to the Committee. The Retention Order provides that Milbank will be
22 compensated on an hourly basis for services rendered to the Committee and reimbursed for actual
23 and necessary out-of-pocket expenses. This Application seeks payment of its fees and
24 reimbursement of its expenses as well as the release of any Holdback amounts previously withheld
25 during the Compensation Period. Milbank is not holding, and has not held, any retainer in
26 connection with these cases or work performed on behalf of the Committee.

27

28

5. Fee Examiner. On May 28, 2019, the Court entered an order appointing Bruce A. Markell as fee examiner (the “Fee Examiner”) in these cases [Docket No. 2267]. Subsequently, the parties have agreed to the Fee Protocol. Milbank has provided certain information to the Fee Examiner, including copies of pertinent receipts, as requested by the Fee Examiner so that he and his team may evaluate the reasonableness of certain expenses sought in this Application. Milbank is in the process of providing additional expense and other information to the Fee Examiner with respect to certain information related to this Application as well. Milbank expects to resolve any issues with the Fee Examiner regarding its fee statements and applications in the near term.

6. Status of Cases. The Debtors filed its chapter 11 plan or reorganization on June 19, 2020 (the “Plan”) [Docket No. 8048], which was confirmed on June 20, 2020 [Docket No. 8053]. The Plan went effective on July 1, 2020. See Notice of Entry of Confirmation Order and Occurrence of Effective Date of Debtors’ and Shareholder Proponents’ Joint Chapter 11 Plan or Reorganization dated June 19, 2020 [Docket No. 8252].

7. Post-Effective Date Compensation. Pursuant to section 12.1 of the Plan, on the Effective Date (July 1, 2020), the “Statutory Committees shall dissolve ... except for the limited purpose of (i) prosecuting requests for allowances of compensation and reimbursement of expenses incurred prior to the Effective Date” For the period following the Effective Date, Milbank has filed one monthly fee statement (which covered both June and July 2020); the time for July 2020 has been limited to those amounts permitted pursuant to section 12.1 of the Plan. In addition, following the filing of this Application, Milbank expects to file additional monthly fee statements covering the time spent, among other things, preparing this Application.

BILLING HISTORY

8. Monthly Fee Statements. In accordance with the Interim Compensation Order, each Retained Professional (as defined therein) is required to file monthly fee statements and

interim fee applications. To the extent there are no objections to a monthly fee statement, the relevant Retained Professional is authorized to file a certification of no objection, after which the Debtors are authorized to pay such professional eighty percent (80%) of the fees and one hundred percent (100%) of the expenses requested in such monthly fee statement. Interim Compensation Order ¶ 2(f). Pursuant to the Interim Compensation Order, Milbank has, to date, submitted seventeen (17) monthly fee statements (each a “Monthly Fee Statement”) for each of the monthly periods through July 31, 2020. Attached hereto as part of the cover sheet is a billing summary for each of the Monthly Fee Statements filed to date.

9. Interim Fee Applications: As well as the Monthly Fee Statements, pursuant to the Interim Compensation Order, Milbank also submitted four interim fee statements (each an “Interim Fee Statement”).

10. This Application is Milbank's final fee application requesting approval and allowance of compensation and reimbursement of expenses. Milbank has not entered into any agreement, express or implied, with any other party for the purpose of fixing or sharing fees or other compensation to be paid for professional services rendered in the Chapter 11 Cases. No promises have been received by Milbank or any member thereof as to compensation in connection with these cases other than in accordance with the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. No prior fee statement or application has been made to this or any other court seeking the relief requested herein, nor has payment been received by Milbank for legal services provided to and on behalf of the Committee, or for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection therewith, in respect of the Compensation Period.

RELIEF REQUESTED

11. By this Application, Milbank seeks: (i) final allowance and award of 100% of the compensation for professional services rendered by Milbank, as counsel to the Committee, during the Compensation Period in the amount of \$28,307,647.25; (ii) reimbursement of actual and

1 necessary expenses incurred by Milbank in connection with such services during the
2 Compensation Period in the amount of \$922,318.05; and (iii) the payment of \$5,474,829.35,
3 constituting the Holdback amount as well as other outstanding amounts. Milbank also seeks
4 authorization for payment of all fee and expense amounts to be billed in the future in accordance
5 with section 12.1 of the Plan, which will be set forth in additional Monthly Fee Statements, to be
6 filed in the near term.
7

8 12. For the convenience of the Court and parties in interest, attached hereto as part of
9 the cover sheet is a billing summary, setting forth the name of each attorney and paraprofessional
10 for whose work on these cases compensation is sought, each attorney's year of bar admission, the
11 aggregate time expended by each such attorney or paraprofessional, the hourly billing rate for each
12 such attorney or paraprofessional at Milbank's current billing rates, and an indication of the
13 individual amounts requested as part of the total amount of compensation requested. Additionally,
14 set forth in the billing summary is further information indicating whether each attorney is a partner,
15 counsel, or associate, how many years each attorney has held such position, and each attorney's
16 primary area of concentration. The compensation requested by Milbank is based on the customary
17 compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in cases other than cases under the
18 Bankruptcy Code.
19

20 13. Milbank also maintains contemporaneous records of all actual and necessary
21 expenses incurred in connection with performing professional services. A summary of the
22 expenses incurred during the Compensation Period is set forth on the cover sheet. The summary
23 lists the amounts and categories of expenses for which reimbursement is sought.
24

25 14. As stated in the certification (the "Kreller Certificate") attached hereto as Exhibit
26 A, which certifies Milbank's compliance with the Fee Guidelines, all of the services for which
27

1 compensation is sought herein were rendered solely for or on behalf of the Committee in
2 connection with these cases.

3 15. Pursuant to the Interim Compensation Order, Milbank has already received
4 payment for the Compensation Period in the total amount of \$22,799,165.16. Milbank seeks
5 further payment of \$6,430,800.14 pursuant to this Application, which amount represents the
6 portion of Milbank's fees for legal services rendered and expenses incurred during the
7 Compensation Period not previously paid to Milbank pursuant to the Interim Fee Applications and
8 Monthly Fee Statements. Such amount includes, among other things, the \$5,474,829.35 Holdback
9 amount plus other amounts due and owing for the last Monthly Fee Statement for which the
10 objection date has not yet passed. Such amounts are subject to further agreement with the Fee
11 Examiner.
12

13 16. The fees sought by this Application reflect an aggregate of 27,204.10 hours of
14 attorney and paraprofessional time spent and recorded in performing services to the Committee
15 during the Compensation Period, at a blended average hourly rate of \$1,040.57 for both attorneys
16 and paraprofessionals. The blended hourly rate for attorneys is \$1,073.63.
17

18 17. The fees charged by Milbank in these cases are billed in accordance with Milbank's
19 existing billing rates and procedures in effect during the Compensation Period. The rates Milbank
20 charges for the services rendered by its professionals in these cases generally are the same rates
21 Milbank charges for professional services rendered in comparable bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy
22 related matters. Such fees are reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by
23 comparably skilled practitioners in comparable bankruptcy and non-bankruptcy cases in a
24 competitive legal market.
25

26 18. Copies of Milbank's invoices detailing the services rendered and expenses incurred
27 during the Compensation Period are attached to the Monthly Fee Statements, which have been
28

1 filed on the docket and furnished to the Debtors, counsel to the TCC, the U.S. Trustee and the Fee
2 Examiner. Milbank has previously provided the Fee Examiner and U.S. Trustee with the Monthly
3 Fee Statements in an electronic LEDES format Milbank believes is acceptable to these parties.
4

5 19. Milbank has made every effort to ensure that this Application complies with the
6 Fee Guidelines and Fee Protocol to the extent applicable.
7

SUMMARY OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RENDERED

8 20. To provide an orderly and meaningful summary of the services rendered by
9 Milbank on behalf of the Committee, Milbank established separate project billing categories for
10 these cases. A chart of the various project billing categories is attached above.
11

12 21. The following summary of services rendered is intended only to highlight matters
13 in certain of the above-listed categories where Milbank has expended a considerable number of
14 hours on behalf of the Committee during the Compensation Period, and it is not meant to be a
15 detailed description of all of the work performed. Indeed, this Application does not detail each
16 and every correspondence, meeting, discussion, court appearance, or work stream, or all research
17 conducted by Milbank during the Compensation Period.
18

19 22. General Overview of Services Rendered. During the course of these cases, Milbank
20 rendered a variety of services to the Committee, including, among other things, tasks related to the
21 administration of the Committee, research and analysis of matters arising during the Compensation
22 Period, preparation of pleadings and other documents, negotiations, and other legal services as
23 were required by and deemed to be in the best interests of the Committee. In connection therewith,
24 Milbank conducted numerous telephone conferences with Committee members, the Committee's
25 other advisors, the Debtors, and other parties in interest, and at all times kept the Committee
26 apprised of events in these Chapter 11 Cases.
27

1 23. Automatic Stay: During the Compensation Period, Milbank attorneys reviewed
2 various motions asking the Court to: (a) lift the automatic stay; (b) abstain from addressing certain
3 matters; and/or (c) find that the automatic stay did not apply. In addition to those motions, the
4 TCC [Docket No. 2904] and Subrogation Group [Docket No. 2863] each filed lift stay motions to
5 pursue the Tubbs Fire litigation before the San Francisco Superior Court. In connection with the
6 various stay motions, Milbank researched legal issues where necessary, drafted memoranda
7 regarding the issues raised therein, and corresponded and worked with counsel to the Debtors to
8 address and resolve any issues where possible.

9
10 24. Bankruptcy Litigation: During the Compensation Period, Milbank attorneys
11 engaged in various litigation and discovery related activities in connection with large number of
12 matters. This included, among other things: (i) the adversary proceeding initiated by the Debtors
13 for a preliminary injunction against the FERC (Adv. Pro. 19-03003); (ii) the subrogation litigation
14 (Adv. Pro. 19-03015); (iv) the motion filed by TURN for the appointment of a ratepayers' statutory
15 committee; (iv) a number of discovery related requests and requests for a protective order
16 associated with the proceeding to estimate fire damages (the "Estimation Proceeding") before the
17 District Court; (v) settlements between the Debtors, the United States Department of Homeland
18 Security/Federal Emergency Management Agency ("FEMA"), California Governor's Office of
19 Emergency Services ("Cal OES"), and other federal and state agencies of damages claims arising
20 from the 2017 and 2018 wildfires; (vi) the Committee's PPI action and appeal and related papers;
21 (vii) the TCC Discovery Motion; (viii) diligence received from the TCC with respect to assignment
22 of potential causes of action of the Debtors to the Fire Victim Trust; (ix) the TCC Standing Motion;
23 (x) the *Ex Parte Applications of the Official Committee of Tort Claimants Pursuant to Federal*
24 *Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2004 for Entry of An Order Authorizing Service of a Subpoena* on
25 various of the Debtors' vendors [Docket No. 6342, et al.]; (xi) *Motion for the Appointment of an*
26 *Administrator for the Official Committee of Tort Claimants Pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy*
27 *Procedure 2004 for Entry of An Order Authorizing Service of a Subpoena* on various of the Debtors'
28 vendors [Docket No. 6342, et al.].

1 *Examiner of Voting Procedural Irregularities* [Docket No. 7568]; and (xii) the criminal
2 probationary proceedings before Judge Alsup in *U.S. v. Pacific Gas and Electric Co.*, Case No.
3 14-cr-00175 (WHA) (N.D. Cal.).²

4 25. Among other things, Milbank attorneys, as applicable, reviewed underlying
5 pleadings, including motions, briefs, objections, and proposed orders, researched relevant legal
6 issues and diligenced factual issues, received and reviewed discovery in connection with certain
7 matters, advised the Committee and members thereof, drafted related memoranda for the
8 Committee, prepared and filed pleadings in support of the Committee's positions, and participated
9 in hearings before the Court with respect to these issues.

10 26. Bar Date: The Debtors' Bar Date Motion was filed on May 1, 2019 along with
11 proposed proof of claim forms and notice procedures. On May 31, 2019, the TCC filed its own
12 bar date motion [Docket No. 2297] and objected to the Debtors' Bar Date Motion [Docket No.
13 2306]. The Subrogation Group also filed a separate motion to approve its own proof of claim form
14 [Docket No. 2044]. After reviewing and analyzing the various motions, forms and notice
15 procedures, the Committee filed a statement in support of the Debtors' Bar Date Motion [Docket
16 No. 2308], and later, an objection to the TCC's bar date motion and retention application for
17 Angeion [Docket No. 2650]. The Committee also commented on the Debtors' proposed proof of
18 claim forms and proposed order (comments which were incorporated into the final drafts),
19 conducted discovery, attended depositions, reviewed related objections and other pleadings and
20 reviewed correspondence from the Debtors regarding their proposed bar date procedures and order.
21 Milbank attorneys also participated in the hearing with respect to the various bar date motions at
22
23

24 2 Where appropriate, Milbank moved litigation time to other submatters, such as plan or wildfire matters
25 below.

1 which the Debtors' revised bar date, notices and proof of claims were approved by the Bankruptcy
2 Court on July 1, 2019 [Docket No. 2806].

3 27. Case Administration: During the Compensation Period, Milbank expended
4 substantial time attending to various case administrative matters, including among other things:
5 (i) preparing and updating an internal calendar of critical dates for the Committee; (ii) maintaining
6 an internal task list to monitor the status of pending matters; (iii) arranging telephonic and video
7 hearing lines for Milbank attorneys' participation in remote hearings; (iv) coordinating critical
8 work streams among Milbank attorneys and the Committee's various other advisors; and
9 (v) reviewing the docket for new pleadings and distributing such filings to the Committee and its
10 advisors along with summaries of such pleadings. Milbank attorneys endeavored to minimize such
11 administration matters while at the same time ensuring that all of the Committee's various
12 activities were performed in the most expeditious manner possible.

15 28. Plan of Reorganization: The Noteholders filed its first motion to limit exclusivity
16 on June 25, 2019, which included a term sheet (the "AHC Term Sheet") for a possible chapter 11
17 plan, and subsequently filed related commitment letters in connection with the proposed plan
18 [Docket No. 3024]. In response, the Committee filed a statement in favor of lifting the Debtors'
19 exclusivity so that a plan on the terms of the AHC Term Sheet could be filed [Docket No. 2064].
20 Subsequently, the Subrogation Group also filed an exclusivity termination motion [Docket No.
21 3147]. Following the filing of the AHC Term Sheet, the Committee reviewed the proposed plan's
22 various terms, the financing documents and researched and analyzed numerous related
23 confirmation issues. The Committee also worked with various parties in an attempt to devise a
24 competing plan schedule, and filed a statement to this effect on August 12, 2019 [Docket No.
25 3517].

1 29. The Debtors filed the first iteration of their chapter 11 plan on September 9, 2019
2 [Docket No. 3841]. On September 19, 2019, after the TCC and AHC reached a settlement with
3 respect to the aggregate amount of wildfire claims, they jointly filed the Second Exclusivity
4 Termination Motion [Docket No. 3940], seeking to terminate exclusivity and permission to file a
5 joint plan based on a term sheet included in the motion. Soon thereafter, on September 25, 2019,
6 the Debtors filed a motion to further extend their exclusive periods (the “Debtors’ Exclusivity
7 Extension Motion”) [Docket No. 4005]. The TCC and AHC also filed an objection to the Debtors’
8 Exclusivity Extension Motion.

9
10 30. Throughout this time, the Committee reviewed the various issues raised in the
11 Debtors’ initial plan, the AHC Term Sheet, the Second Exclusivity Termination Motion and the
12 various objections filed in connection therewith. Such work culminated in the Committee filing a
13 second statement in support of terminating exclusivity [Docket No. 4049]. Following argument
14 in front of the Bankruptcy Court, during which the Committee’s counsel played a significant role,
15 the Court terminated the Debtors’ exclusivity so that the TCC/AHC Plan could be filed, and denied
16 the Debtors’ Exclusivity Extension Motion.
17

18 31. Subsequently, the Committee participated in briefing regarding a number of
19 outstanding confirmation issues, including, but not limited to, reinstatement, cram down,
20 impairment and post-petition interest payable in a solvent debtor case.
21

22 32. Ultimately, the Debtors filed the Plan on June 19, 2020 and the Plan was confirmed
23 on June 20, 2020. During the Compensation Period, Milbank attorneys reviewed the various issues
24 raised in the Debtors’ Plan, Disclosure Statement, Solicitation Procedures Motion, *Notice of Filing*
25 *of Plan Supplement in Connection with Debtors’ and Shareholder Proponents’ Joint Chapter 11*
26 *Plan of Reorganization Dated March 16, 2020* [Docket. No. 7037] (as amended, modified, or
27 supplemented from time to time, the “Plan Supplement”), and *Debtors’ Motion for Entry of an*
28

1 *Order (I) Approving Case Resolution Contingency Process and (II) Granting Related Relief*
2 [Docket No. 6398] (the “CRCP Motion”). The Plan itself was based in large part on the
3 agreements contained in the TCC RSA, the Subrogation RSA and the Noteholder RSA. Regarding
4 each of these agreements, the Committee reviewed, participated in hearings regarding their terms
5 and filed various pleadings stating the Committee’s positions. Importantly, Milbank also engaged
6 in vigorous negotiations with the Debtors to consensually resolve various plan and disclosure
7 statement issues and drafted and filed a limited objection to confirmation of the Debtors’ then-
8 filed plan of reorganization [Docket No. 7300] as well as a response to the Disclosure Statement
9 and Solicitation Procedures Motion [Docket No. 6154]. In addition, Milbank participated in status
10 conferences for and advised the Committee with respect to the Estimation Proceeding.

12 33. In connection with the Plan, Milbank attorneys researched and analyzed a number
13 of other confirmation issues, including, but not limited to, release, exculpation, discharge,
14 impairment and classification of claims and interests. Milbank attorneys also participated in
15 briefing regarding postpetition interest issues. Milbank attorneys held numerous meetings and
16 calls with various parties with respect to the various plans and related documents and motions,
17 drafted memoranda with respect to issues raised in such documents for dissemination to the
18 Committee, and participated in hearings before the Court with respect to the Plan.

20 34. Communications with Client: During the Compensation Period, in coordination
21 with the Committee’s financial advisors, Milbank attorneys spent a substantial amount of time
22 coordinating and preparing materials (including meeting agendas, factual and legal memoranda,
23 summaries, and presentations) for Committee meetings. In advance of such meetings, Milbank
24 attorneys drafted memoranda for the Committee summarizing and providing analyses and
25 recommendations with respect to each substantive matter that was scheduled for an upcoming
26 hearing or was otherwise active. Milbank attorneys also drafted substantive memoranda on
27
28

1 numerous case topics, including, among other things: (i) various iterations of the Plan; (ii) the
2 Disclosure Statement; (iii) the Solicitation Procedures; (iv) the Exit Financing Motion; (v) 2020
3 Compensation Motion; (vi) certain executory contract motions; (vii) the release provisions
4 contained in the Plan; (viii) the TCC, Subrogation and Noteholders' RSAs; (ix) the TCC's
5 Standing and Discovery Motions; (x) certain retention applications filed by the Debtors and other
6 parties; and (xi) each of the Debtors' other substantive motions. Milbank attorneys also prepared
7 for and participated in numerous calls and other communications, including via email, with
8 individual Committee members and their counsel, as well as with the subcommittees established
9 by the Committee.

10
11 35. Milbank attorneys also prepared for and participated in numerous calls and other
12 communications, including via email, with individual Committee members and their counsel, as
13 well as with the subcommittees established by the Committee, which include the: (i) Public Affairs
14 Subcommittee; (ii) Business Operations Subcommittee; (iii) Wildfire Mitigation Subcommittee;
15 and (iv) Wildfire Claims Subcommittee.

16
17 36. Communications with Unsecured Creditors: During the Compensation Period, in
18 accordance with the Committee's statutory duties under section 1102(b) of the Bankruptcy Code,
19 Milbank attorneys responded electronically and telephonically to various inquiries received from
20 the Debtors' unsecured creditors regarding these cases. Milbank also maintained frequent contact
21 with advisors to major constituencies in these cases, including the Debtors, the TCC, the AHC and
22 the Subrogation Group, to discuss open issues and upcoming matters important to the Committee,
23 communicate the Committee's positions and seek mutually acceptable courses of action.

24
25 37. Committee Meetings: Given the significant size of the Debtors' cases and the sheer
26 amount of materials that the Committee's advisors were tasked with handling, including multiple
27 plans, the Disclosure Statement, the Exit Financing Motion, the Estimation Proceedings and other
28

1 substantial motions and applications, Milbank conducted regular telephonic meetings with the
2 Committee (collectively, the “Committee Meetings”). During Committee Meetings, Milbank
3 attorneys discussed key issues with the Committee, including all of the upcoming matters
4 scheduled for hearing by the Court. Prior to such meetings, Milbank attorneys reviewed pending
5 matters requiring the Committee’s attention and the underlying documentation and coordinated
6 advice with the Committee’s other professionals. Milbank attorneys also drafted agendas for all
7 such meetings and distributed pertinent information in advance to the Committee. Thereafter,
8 Milbank discussed each of these matters with the Committee, as well as individual Committee
9 members on separate occasions, and assisted the Committee in formulating a position with respect
10 to each matter. Milbank also provided Committee members with regular, and sometimes daily,
11 update emails regarding the status of these cases.
12

13 38. DIP Financing/Cash Management/Exit Financing: One of the most significant
14 “first day” issues related to the Debtors request for authorization to obtain \$5.5 billion of secured,
15 superpriority postpetition financing pursuant to their *Motion Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 362,*
16 *363, 364, 503 and 507, and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 4001, 6003, 6004 and 9014 for Interim and*
17 *Final Orders (I) Authorizing the Debtors to Obtain Senior Secured, Superpriority Postpetition*
18 *Financing, (II) Granting Liens and Superpriority Claims, (III) Modifying the Automatic Stay, (IV)*
19 *Scheduling Final Hearing, and (V) Granting Related Relief* (the “DIP Motion”) [Docket No. 23].
20

21 39. Immediately upon the Committee’s formation, Milbank and the Committee’s
22 financial advisors began to review the DIP credit agreement and an enormous amount of diligence
23 regarding the DIP Motion, which required that the Committee’s advisors to work closely with the
24 Committee and the Debtors’ advisors, in order to understand the various aspects of the proposed
25 financing, the Debtors’ capital structure, funding needs and market conditions and comparables
26 with respect to loans of this type and size. Among other things, Milbank and the Committee’s
27
28

1 other advisors specifically focused on the sizing of the DIP Financing. Milbank also reviewed the
2 related cash management order and the Debtors' proposed DIP Order, and Milbank attorneys also
3 appeared at the final hearing on the DIP Motion. Based on its review of all of the aspects of the
4 DIP financing, the Committee ultimately came to support the DIP Motion, and Milbank drafted
5 and filed a *Statement of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in Support of the Final*
6 *Approval of the Debtors' DIP Motion* [Docket No. 835].
7

8 40. In addition, in support of the Plan, the Debtors also filed Exit Financing Motion
9 [Docket No. 6013]. The Committee reviewed and diligence all of the various components of the
10 proposed Exit Financing to ensure that the proposed financing was not illusory, met the feasibility
11 requirements of section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code and was on the best terms available. The
12 Committee also filed a statement with respect to its views regarding the Exit Financing Motion
13 [Docket No. 6277].
14

15 41. General Case Strategy: As Committee counsel, Milbank expended significant time
16 organizing the Committee by, among other things: (i) conducting numerous calls, both internally,
17 with Milbank attorneys, and externally, with the Committee's other professionals to discuss and
18 analyze pending motions and applications, as well as other tasks and projects; (ii) corresponding
19 with the Debtors and their advisors regarding various work streams and diligence items; and
20 (iii) analyzing new pleadings filed on the bankruptcy docket.
21

22 42. Further, on a weekly basis during the Compensation Period, Milbank attorneys led
23 advisors-only calls with the Committee's financial advisors to prepare for regular Committee
24 meetings and other matters. During such calls, the Committee's advisors discussed general case
25 strategy, issues that may be appropriate for special attention by the Committee and proposed
26 recommendations with respect to such issues. Also during the Compensation Period, Milbank
27
28

1 attorneys drafted minutes to memorialize the Committee's resolutions during Committee
2 meetings.

3 43. Court Hearings: During the Compensation Period, Milbank attorneys spent
4 considerable time preparing for and attending (either in person or telephonically) all significant
5 hearings and Court conferences in these cases and related adversary proceedings, before the
6 Bankruptcy Court, the District Court and in other forums. Significant hearings were held with
7 regard to: (i) the Noteholders' and TCC's RSAs; (ii) the Exit Financing Motion; (iii) the *Omnibus*
8 *Objection of the Official Committee of Tort Claimants (Substantive) to No Liability Claims Filed*
9 *by the Department of Homeland Security/Federal Emergency Management Agency (Claim Nos.*
10 *59692, 59734 & 59783 [Docket No. 4943]* (the "FEMA Claims Objection") and the *Omnibus*
11 *Objection of the Official Committee of Tort Claimants (Substantive) to Claims Filed by California*
12 *Governor's Office of Emergency Services [Docket No. 5096]* (the "Cal OES Claims Objection");
13 (iv) the Subrogation RSA Motion; (v) the TCC and AHG's Exclusivity Termination Motion
14 [Docket No. 4167]; (vi) the Second Exclusivity Extension Motion [Docket No. 4005]; (vii) the
15 TCC Discovery Motion; (viii) the Disclosure Statement and Solicitation Procedures Motion; (ix)
16 the CRCP Motion; (x) the *Application of the Official Committee of Tort Claimants Pursuant to 11*
17 *U.S.C. § 1103 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2014 and 5002 to Retain and Employ Cathy Yanni as Claims*
18 *Administrator Nunc Pro Tunc to January 13, 2020 through the Effective Date of the Resolution*
19 *Trust Agreement [Docket No. 5723]* and *Application of the Official Committee of Tort Claimants*
20 *Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1103 and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2014 and 5002 to Retain and Employ Hon.*
21 *John K. Trotter (Ret.) as Trustee Nunc Pro Tunc to January 13, 2020 through the Effective Date*
22 *of the Resolution Trust Agreement [Docket No. 5726]* (together, the "Trotter & Yanni Retention
23 Applications"); (xi) the TCC Standing Motion; (xii) the *Motion of Debtors Pursuant to 11 U.S.C.*
24 *§§ 105(a) and 363(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. Rule 9019 for Entry of an Order Approving (I*
25 *Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8885 Filed: 08/28/20 Entered: 08/28/20 13:18:51 Page 31*
26 *of 43*

1 *Agreement and Settlement with People of the State of California and (II) Granting Related Relief*
2 [Docket No. 6418] (the “Butte County Agreement Motion”); (xiii) the Estimation Proceedings;
3 (xiv) Plan confirmation hearings; and (xv) numerous other motions.

4 44. Milbank attorneys communicated in advance of hearings with other parties in
5 interest, including the Debtors, the TCC, the Subrogation Group, the AHC and the U.S. Trustee
6 regarding the relief requested and any issues raised thereby, in an effort to consensually resolve
7 concerns prior to the applicable hearing. Given the nature of these cases, many matters went
8 forward during the Compensation Period on a contested basis, necessitating active Committee
9 participation to advance and protect the interests of its constituency. Moreover, because the issues
10 that arose implicated numerous nuanced areas of the law, the contribution of Milbank attorneys
11 spanning multiple specialties was necessary to properly represent the Committee.
12

13 45. Non-Working Travel: Non-working travel time includes that time spent by
14 Milbank attorneys traveling to and from meetings, conferences, hearings and similar events in
15 connection with Milbank’s representation of the Committee. Most significantly, during the
16 Compensation Period, Milbank attorneys were required to travel to and from San Francisco on a
17 regular basis – sometimes making multiple trips in a single week – for court hearings, meetings
18 with the Debtors and their respective advisors. Efforts were made to use travel time productively,
19 for performing work for the estates or for other client-related matters, but this was not always
20 possible or practicable. Pursuant to an agreement with the Fee Examiner, as directed by the Court,
21 non- working travel time spent by Milbank attorneys traveling to and from meetings, conferences,
22 hearings and similar events in connection with Milbank’s representation of the Committee was
23 either not billed or limited to two (2) hours for each way of a trip.³
24
25

26
27
28

³ Adjustments are expected to be made with the Fee Examiner regarding such amounts.

1 46. Retention/Fee Applications: Soon after its engagement, Milbank coordinated with
2 the Committee, FTI Consulting Inc. (“FTI”), and Centerview Partners LLC (“Centerview”), to
3 draft and/or finalize each professional firm’s retention application and exhibits thereto, including
4 engagement letters, conflict schedules and declarations, in addition to those for Milbank.
5 Subsequently, the Committee determined to retain Axiom Advisors (“Axiom”) as its government
6 affairs consultant, given the significant legislative issues at play in these cases. To the extent
7 required by applicable bankruptcy law, each professional ran a conflicts check for purposes of
8 disclosing relationships to parties potentially interested in these cases, a list of such parties having
9 been supplied by the Debtors’ advisors to Milbank. Given the voluminous nature of the list,
10 significant time was required to be spent by Milbank attorneys During the Compensation Period,
11 Milbank worked with the Committee and its financial advisors to draft and/or finalize each
12 professional’s fee applications and exhibits thereto. Specifically, Milbank prepared its own fee
13 applications and assisted with the preparation of the fee applications of FTI Consulting, Inc., as
14 the Committee’s financial advisor, Centerview Partners LLC, as the Committee’s investment
15 banker, and Axiom Advisors, as the Committee’s government affairs consultant.

16 47. Wildfire Claims and Treatment: During the Compensation Period, Milbank
17 attorneys researched and addressed a host of issues for the Committee related to mass tort cases,
18 including the claims estimation process and potential mechanisms or structures to address those
19 claims and other liability issues. In addition, Milbank reviewed various issues related to the
20 possible treatment of the wildfire claims.

21 48. Following the entry into the Subrogation and TCC RSAs, Milbank reviewed and
22 analyzed the related materials and reviewed drafts of the various documents intended to govern
23 the trusts and distribution of funds to be made pursuant to these agreements, including the various
24 trust agreements, claims procedures and TCC Standing Motion.

49. Employment Issues: During the Compensation Period, the Debtors sought a variety of employee-related relief, including with respect to: (i) the payment of prepetition wages, salaries, withholding obligations and other compensation and benefits and the continuation of employee benefits programs; and (ii) a STIP for the 2019 fiscal year. Given the size and scope of the STIP, with the assistance of FTI, Milbank conducted substantial diligence on the proposed terms of the program, prepared written analyses for the Committee, and engaged in extensive negotiations with the Debtors for improved terms, while also preparing an objection and supporting documents to the extent an acceptable compromise was not reached. Following the Committee's filing of a limited objection to the STIP, and after numerous weeks of diligence, analysis, and productive negotiations, the Committee reached a resolution with the Debtors for significant improvements to be made to the program, which the Court ultimately approved.

ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION

50. In light of the size and complexity of these Chapter 11 Cases, the professional services rendered by Milbank required the expenditure of substantial time and effort by a large but lean team of attorneys and a high degree of professional competence and expertise across multiple specialties. It is respectfully submitted that the services rendered to the Committee were performed efficiently, effectively and economically, and the results obtained to date have benefited unsecured creditors and the Debtors' estates.

51. The final allowance of compensation for services rendered and reimbursement of expenses in bankruptcy cases is expressly provided for in Bankruptcy Code section 331:

... any professional person . . . may apply to the court not more than once every 120 days after an order for relief in a case under this title, or more often if the court permits, for such compensation for services rendered . . . as is provided under section 330 of this title.

52. With respect to the level of compensation, Bankruptcy Code section 330(a)(1)(A) provides, in pertinent part, that the Court may award to a professional person “reasonable

1 compensation for actual, necessary services rendered[.]” See In re Manoa Finance Co., 853 F.2d
2 687, 689 (9th Cir. 1988) (stating that section 330 authorizes reasonable compensation for certain
3 services and costs). Section 330(a)(3), in turn, provides that:

4 In determining the amount of reasonable compensation to be awarded to . . .
5 [a] professional person, the court shall consider the nature, the extent, and the value
6 of such services, taking into account all relevant factors, including –

7 (A) the time spent on such services;

8 (B) the rates charged for such services;

9 (C) whether the services were necessary to the administration of, or beneficial at
10 the time which the service was rendered toward the completion of, a case under
this title;

11 (D) whether the services were performed within a reasonable amount of time
12 commensurate with the complexity, importance, and nature of the problem, issue,
or task addressed;

13 (E) with respect to a professional person, whether the person is board certified or
14 otherwise has demonstrated skill and expertise in the bankruptcy field; and

15 (F) whether the compensation is reasonable based on the customary compensation
16 charged by comparably skilled practitioners in cases other than cases under this title.

17 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(3). The clear Congressional intent and policy expressed by the statute is to
18 provide for adequate compensation to professionals in order to continue to attract qualified and
19 competent bankruptcy practitioners to bankruptcy cases. In re Fleming Cos., 304 B.R. 85, 92
20 (Bankr. D. Del. 2003) (“in enacting the Bankruptcy Code provisions which allow compensation
21 to attorneys, Congress sought to encourage qualified attorneys to develop bankruptcy expertise by
22 assuring that they would be compensated at the *same level* as their peers in other practice areas.”).

23 53. The total time spent by Milbank attorneys and paraprofessionals during the
24 Compensation Period was 27,204.10 hours which has a fair market value of \$28,307,647.25. As
25 demonstrated by this Application and supporting exhibits, Milbank submits that its services were
26 rendered economically and without unnecessary duplication of efforts. In addition, the work
27

1 involved, and thus the time expended, was carefully assigned in consideration of the experience
2 and expertise required for each particular task. The compensation requested in the Application is
3 based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in cases other
4 than cases under the Bankruptcy Code.

5 54. As reflected in this Application and the supporting documents, Milbank spent its
6 time efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of time. Nonetheless, Milbank has
7 voluntarily agreed to reduce its fees during the Compensation Period in the amount of
8 \$2,797,465.25 (the “Voluntary Reduction”).

9
10 **EXPENSES**

11 55. Bankruptcy Code Section 330 also authorizes “reimbursement for actual, necessary
12 expenses” incurred by a retained professional. 11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(1)(B). “Once documented,
13 ‘actual and necessary expenses’ are automatically reimbursable.” In re Wendy’s of Montana, Inc.,
14 111 B.R. 314, 315 (Bankr. N.D. Mont. 1988). Milbank seeks reimbursement for actual out-of-
15 pocket expenses in connection with the rendition of the professional services to the Committee in
16 the sum of \$922,318.05. The disbursements have been incurred in accordance with Milbank’s
17 normal practice of charging clients for expenses clearly related to and required by particular
18 matters. In accordance with section 330 of the Bankruptcy Code, Milbank seeks reimbursement
19 only for the actual cost of such expenses to Milbank. Milbank charges for these expenses at rates
20 consistent with those charged to Milbank’s other bankruptcy clients, which rates are equal to or
21 less than the rates charged by Milbank to its non-bankruptcy clients. Milbank has minimized these
22 expenses to the greatest extent possible. A detailed summary of the expenses is attached to the
23 cover sheet hereto. Copies of supporting documentation for the out-of-pocket expenses (receipts,
24 statements, invoices, etc.) have been provided to the Fee Examiner.

25 56. Milbank’s hourly billing rates do not include charges for photocopying, telephone
26 and facsimile charges, computerized research, travel expenses, “working meals,” secretarial

overtime, postage and certain other office services, since the needs of each client for such services differ. Milbank believes that it is more appropriate to charge each client only for the expenses actually incurred in performing services therefor.

57. Milbank seeks reimbursement at the following rates for the following expenses:
(i) ten cents (\$0.10) per page for photocopying; (ii) ten cents (\$0.10) per page for black and white printing; and (iii) ten cents (\$0.10) per page for color printing. Milbank's charges for internal duplicating are well below the \$.50 per page rate authorized by statute for copies made by the clerks of the United States Courts. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(b); Judicial Conference Schedule of Fees n.4. Milbank currently does not charge for facsimile transmissions. Milbank has negotiated a discounted transactional rate for computer assisted legal research. Milbank is not seeking reimbursement of hourly fees of its secretarial services in this Application. Further, in providing or obtaining services from third parties that are reimbursable by clients, Milbank does not include in such reimbursable amount any costs of investment, equipment, or capital outlay.

58. Throughout the Compensation Period, Milbank has been keenly aware of cost considerations and has tried to minimize the expenses charged to the Debtors' estates.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

59. Although every effort has been made to include all fees and expenses incurred during the Compensation Period, some fees and expenses incurred during the period might not be included in this Application due to delays caused in connection with the accounting and processing of such time and expenses. Accordingly, Milbank reserves the right to make further application to this Court for allowance of such fees and expenses incurred during this Compensation Period but not included herein.

NOTICE

60. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases. Pursuant to the Interim Compensation Order, notice of this Application has been served upon: (i) the Debtors

c/o Pacific Gas & Electric Company, 77 Beale Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 (Attn: Janet Loduca, Esq.); (ii) the attorneys for the Debtors, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Stephen Karotkin, Esq. and Rachael Foust, Esq.) and Keller & Benvenutti LLP, 650 California Street, Suite 1900, San Francisco, CA 94108 (Attn: Tobias S. Keller, Esq. and Jane Kim, Esq.); (iii) the Office of the United States Trustee for Region 17, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 5th Floor, Suite #05-0153, San Francisco, CA 94102 (Attn: James L. Snyder, Esq. and Timothy Laffredi, Esq.); (iv) counsel for the TCC, Baker & Hostetler LLP, 11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1400, Los Angeles, CA 90025-0509 (Attn: Eric Sagerman, Esq. and Cecily Dumas, Esq.); and (v) counsel to the Fee Examiner, Scott McNutt, 324 Warren Road, San Mateo, CA 94402 (Attn: Scott McNutt).

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, Milbank respectfully requests that the Court enter an order: (i) allowing Milbank (a) final allowance for professional services rendered as counsel for the Committee during the Compensation Period in the amount of \$28,307,647.25; and (b) final allowance of reimbursement of expenses incurred in connection with rendering such services in the aggregate amount of \$922,318.05, for a total award of \$29,229,965.30; (ii) authorizing and directing the Debtors to pay all amounts to the extent not previously paid in accordance with the Interim Compensation Order; and (iii) granting such further relief as is just and appropriate.

Dated: August 28, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

MILBANK LLP

By: /s/ Thomas R. Kreller

Dennis F. Dunne (admitted pro hac vice)

Samuel A. Khalil (admitted pro hac vice)

Gregory A. Bray

Thomas R. Kreller

Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors

1 **EXHIBIT A**

2 **KRELLER DECLARATION**

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1
2 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
3 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
4 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

5 **In re:**

6 **PG&E CORPORATION**

7 **- and -**

8 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC**
9 **COMPANY,**

10 **Debtors.**

- 11 Affects PG&E Corporation
12 Affects Pacific Gas and Electric
13 Affects both Debtors

14 ** All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case,
15 No. 19-30088 (DM).*

16 Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

17 Chapter 11

18 (Lead Case)

19 (Jointly Administered)

20 **CERTIFICATION OF THOMAS R.
KRELLER REGARDING FINAL FEE
APPLICATION OF MILBANK LLP FOR
ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION AND
FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES
INCURRED AS COUNSEL TO THE
OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED
CREDITORS FOR THE PERIOD FROM
FEBRUARY 12, 2019 THROUGH JULY 31,
2020**

21 1. I am a partner in the Financial Restructuring Group of the firm Milbank LLP
22 ("Milbank"), counsel to the official committee of unsecured creditors (the "Committee") in these
23 Chapter 11 Cases. I am admitted to the bar of the State of California.

24 2. I make this certification regarding the *Final Fee Application of Milbank LLP for*
25 *Allowance of Compensation for Services Rendered and for Reimbursement of Expenses Incurred*
26 *as Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors for the Period from February 12,*
27 *2019 through July 31, 2020* (the "Application"). I am familiar with: (i) the *Order Pursuant to 11*
28 *U.S.C. §§ 331 and 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2016 for Authority to Establish Procedures for*
 Interim Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses of Professionals [Docket No. 701] (the
 "Interim Compensation Order"); (ii) the *Guidelines for Compensation and Expense Reimbursement*

1 of Professionals and Trustees for the Northern District of California, dated February 19, 2014 (the
2 “Local Guidelines”); (iii) the *U.S. Trustee Guidelines for Reviewing Applications for Compensation*
3 and *Reimbursement of Expenses Filed under 11 U.S.C. § 330 by Attorneys in Larger Chapter 11*
4 *Cases*, effective November 1, 2013 (the “U.S. Trustee Guidelines”); and (iv) the *Fee Examiner*
5 *Protocol for Chapter 11 Cases of PG&E Corporation and Pacific Gas and Electric Company*, filed
6 on October 24, 2019 [Docket No. 4473-1] (the “Fee Examiner Protocol” and collectively, the “Fee
7 Guidelines”).

9 3. I have reviewed the Application and hereby certify that, to the best of my
10 knowledge, information and belief, it complies with the Fee Guidelines and the applicable
11 provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and the Bankruptcy Rules. The fees and disbursements sought
12 are billed at rates in accordance with those generally charged by Milbank and generally accepted
13 by Milbank’s clients. I certify that: (i) all of the services for which compensation is sought in the
14 Application were rendered for or on behalf of the Committee solely in connection with the Chapter
15 11 Cases; and (ii) the Application is being served on the Debtors, the TCC, the U.S. Trustee and
16 the Fee Examiner in accordance with the Interim Compensation Order. Additionally, I hereby
17 certify that, in accordance with the Interim Compensation Order, and in connection with preparing
18 this Application, Milbank has made a reasonable effort to comply with the U.S. Trustee’s requests
19 for information and additional disclosures set forth in the U.S. Trustee Guidelines. To that end,
20 Milbank specifically responds to certain questions identified in the U.S. Trustee Guidelines as
21 follows:

24 Question 1: Did Milbank agree to any variations from, or alternatives to, Milbank’s
25 standard or customary billing rates, fees or terms for services pertaining to
26 this engagement that were provided during the application period? If so, please explain.

27 Answer: No.
28

1 Question 2: If the fees sought in the Application as compared to the fees budgeted for
2 the time period covered by the Application are higher by 10% or more, did
3 Milbank discuss the reasons for the variation with the client?

4 Answer: Milbank has previously discussed its fees with the
5 Committee. Since the Debtors' Plan was confirmed and it
6 has gone effective, the Committee has been dissolved.
7 Milbank complied with the periodic budgeting requirement
8 during the cases and never exceeded budget by 10%.

9 Question 3: Have any of the professionals included in the Application varied their
10 hourly rate based on geographic location of the bankruptcy case?

11 Answer: No.

12 Question 4: Does the Application include time or fees related to reviewing or revising
13 time records or preparing, reviewing or revising invoices?

14 Answer: The Application includes a small amount of time and fees
15 related to reviewing or revising time records for privileged
16 or confidentiality and preparing, reviewing or revising
17 invoices in connection with the preparation of Monthly Fee
18 Statements and Interim Fee Applications relating to the
19 period covered by this Application as well as time spent
20 preparing this Application.

21 Question 5: Does the Application include time for fees for reviewing time records to
22 redact any privileged or other confidential information?

23 Answer: Yes. See above.

24 Question 6: Does the Application include any rate increases since retention in these
25 cases:

26 Answer: Yes. The Applications does include rate increases since
27 retention in these cases. All rate increases are reflected in the
28 billings by professional chart included in this Application.

29 Question 7: Did the client agree when retaining Milbank to accept all future rate
30 increases? If not, did Milbank inform the client that they need not agree to
31 modified rates or terms in order to have you continue the representation,
32 consistent with ABA Formal Ethics Opinion 11-458?

33 Answer: The client did not prospectively approve such rate increases
34 but was notified at the outset of the engagement that
35 Milbank's hourly rates are reviewed and revised from time
36 to time. In accordance with ABA Formal Ethics Opinion 11-
37 458, Milbank also notified the Committee at the outset of the
38 representation that it need not agree to any modified rates or

1 terms in order to have Milbank continue its representation of
2 the Committee.

3 /s/ Thomas R. Kreller
4 Thomas R. Kreller

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28